

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,524	DARLEY ET AL.
	Examiner Mark W. Bockelman	Art Unit 3766

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Mark W. Bockelman.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Verga.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 September 2009
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 30, 31, 34, 36-39, 52-56

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed claim language to render the claims consistent with the specification and to eliminate redundancy. See the attached examiner's amendment for the accepted changes.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark W Bockelman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)